## AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELDLLP

RECEIPT

Attorneys at Law

**TOM W. DAVIDSON** 703.891.7540/fax: 703.891.7501 tdavidson@akingump.com

December 24, 2003

RECEIVED - FCC

DEC 2 4 2003

Gederal Communication Commission Bureau / Office

VIA MESSENGER

Kathryn O'Brien Chief, Strategic Analysis and Negotiations Division International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re:

Interference from Mexican Station XEKTT, Tecate, Baja California, Mexico to Stations KSFO(AM), San Francisco, CA, KLAC(AM), Los Angeles, CA, KUZZ(AM), Bakersfield, CA, KBLU(AM), Yuma, AZ, and KFYI, Phoenix, AZ

Dear Ms. O'Brien:

On behalf of KGO-AM Radio, Inc. ("KGO"), licensee of station KSFO(AM), 560 kHz, San Francisco, California, enclosed please find a Request for Action to Eliminate Interference filed jointly with AMFM Radio Licenses, L.L.C. ("AMFM"), licensee of station KLAC(AM), 570 kHz, Los Angeles, California and station KFYI, 550 kHz, Phoenix, Arizona, Owens One Company ("Owens"), licensee of KUZZ(AM), 550 kHz, Bakersfield, California, and Capstar TX Limited Partnership ("Capstar"), licensee of station KBLU(AM), 560 kHz, Yuma, Arizona, which requests the International Bureau ("Bureau") to take immediate action regarding the operations of Mexican AM broadcast station XEKTT, Tecate, Baja California on 550 kHz.

Please direct any inquiries to the undersigned counsel for KGO.

Sincerely,

Tom W. Davidson, Esq. Natalie G. Roisman, Esq.

Enclosure

cc: Donald Abelson, Chief, International Bureau

James Ballis, Chief, Cross Border, Negotiations and Treaty Compliance Branch

## Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)			
	)			
Elimination of Objectionable	)			
Interference to	)			
KSFO(AM), San Francisco, California	)			
KLAC(AM), Los Angeles, California	)	File No.	•	
KUZZ(AM), Bakersfield, California	)	<del></del>		
KBLU(AM), Yuma, Arizona	)			
KFYI(AM), Phoenix, Arizona	)			

To: Chief, Strategic Analysis and Negotiations Division

## REQUEST FOR ACTION TO ELIMINATE INTERFERENCE

Tom W. Davidson, Esq.
Natalie G. Roisman, Esq.
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
1676 International Drive
Penthouse
McLean, Virginia 22102
(703) 891-7500

Counsel for KGO-AM Radio, Inc.

Gregory L. Masters, Esq. Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP 1776 K Street, NW 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20006 (703) 719-7370

Counsel for Owens One Company

Marnie K. Sarver, Esq. Gregory L. Masters, Esq. Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP 1776 K Street, NW 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20006 (703) 719-4289

Counsel for AMFM Radio Licenses, L.L.C. and Capstar TX Limited Partnership

## Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)		
	)		
Elimination of Objectionable	)		
Interference to	)		
KSFO(AM), San Francisco, California	)		
KLAC(AM), Los Angeles, California	)	File No.	
KUZZ(AM), Bakersfield, California	)		
KBLU(AM), Yuma, Arizona	)		•
KFYI(AM), Phoenix, Arizona	j		

## REQUEST FOR ACTION TO ELIMINATE INTERFERENCE

KGO-AM Radio, Inc. ("KGO"), licensee of station KSFO(AM), 560 kHz, San Francisco, California, AMFM Radio Licenses, L.L.C. ("AMFM"), licensee of station KLAC(AM), 570 kHz, Los Angeles, California and station KFYI(AM), 550 kHz, Phoenix, Arizona, Owens One Company ("Owens"), licensee of KUZZ(AM), 550 kHz, Bakersfield, California, and Capstar TX Limited Partnership ("Capstar"), licensee of station KBLU(AM), 560 kHz, Yuma, Arizona (collectively the "Affected Stations") hereby request the International Bureau ("Bureau") of the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") to take any action necessary to eliminate interference to the Affected Stations from Mexican AM broadcast station XEKTT, Tecate, Baja California, Mexico. As further explained below and in the attached engineering exhibit, XEKTT's operation on 560 kHz beginning on or around December 9, 2003 is causing severe interference to the Affected Stations and is prohibited under the agreement governing U.S.-Mexico coordination for AM broadcast stations because it was never properly coordinated with and approved by the Commission. Accordingly, the Affected Stations urge the Bureau to act

immediately to request the Mexican government to cause XEKTT to discontinue operations on this frequency.

## I. BACKGROUND

Beginning in late November and increasingly in recent weeks, the Affected Stations have received numerous complaints from listeners within their protected service contours indicating that listeners have experienced interference from another station broadcasting Spanish language programming. Engineers for the Affected Stations investigated the interference complaints and determined that the interfering station is identified by the call letters XEKTT and is broadcasting on 560 kHz. Further research and conversations with the Bureau staff revealed that XEKTT is licensed to Tecate, Baja California, Mexico and initially was licensed for operation on 1600 kHz. According to the Bureau staff, on November 15, 2003, XEKTT was granted a license by the Mexican government for operation on 550 kHz and on December 9, 2003, XEKTT was granted a license by the Mexican government for operation on 560 kHz. The Bureau staff has informed the Affected Stations that the Bureau did not receive coordination notifications from the Mexican government for the 550 kHz or 560 kHz operations as required by the U.S.-Mexico treaty governing coordination of medium frequency AM band stations. Further, the Bureau did not approve or authorize either operation as required by the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to the Bureau staff, the coordinates for XEKTT's operations on 560 kHz are 32-30-49 N, 116-49-08 W, approximately 770 kilometers from San Francisco, 200 kilometers from Yuma, 370 kilometers from Bakersfield, 215 kilometers from Los Angeles, and 460 kilometers from Phoenix. The tower height is 98 meters. The station is operating at 20 kW ERP daytime and 10 kW ERP nighttime with a non-directional antenna.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the United Mexican States Relating to the AM Broadcasting Service in the Medium Frequency Band (Aug. 28, 1986) ("U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement"). Article 3 of the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement governs the procedure for modifications to the frequency assignment plan ("Plan") adopted as part of the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement. Specifically,

## II. THE OBJECTIONABLE INTERFERENCE CAUSED BY XEKTT IS SEVERE AND PROHIBITED

The attached engineering exhibit demonstrates that XEKTT's operation on 560 kHz causes objectionable interference as defined by the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement to a total population of nearly 29 million people within the five Affected Stations' protected service contours.<sup>3</sup> Specifically, the XEKTT daytime contour: completely overlaps the KBLU daytime 0.5 mV/m interference-free contour;<sup>4</sup> covers 97% of the population of the KLAC daytime 0.5 mV/m interference-free contour;<sup>5</sup> and covers 60% of the population of the KUZZ daytime 0.5 mV/m interference-free contour.<sup>6</sup> In the Los Angeles metropolitan area alone, more than 18 million people within the KLAC daytime interference-free contour receive objectionable interference from XEKTT.<sup>7</sup> The XEKTT nighttime contour also completely overlaps the KSFO and KBLU nighttime "interference-free" contours, causing interference to more than 7 million

Article 3 provides that "[w]hen an administration proposes to modify the Plan, i.e., to modify the characteristics of a frequency assignment to a station shown in the Plan, whether or not the station has been brought into use, or to introduce a new assignment into the Plan . . . [t]he administration proposing to modify the characteristics of an assignment in the Plan or introduce a new assignment shall seek the agreement of the other administration and shall send . . . the necessary information via registered mail." Article 3 further provides that "[a]ny assignment in conformity with the Agreement shall be considered as adversely affected when calculations, based on Annex 2, indicate that objectionable interference would occur as a result of the proposed modification to the Plan" and that modifications to the Plan are not to be registered until "the agreement of the other administration has been obtained or when the time period for responding to the notification . . . has expired and no such response has been received."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Engineering Statement of Richard H. Mertz, Cavell, Mertz & Davis ("Engineering Exhibit"), Table II. The Affected Stations are not the only stations experiencing objectionable interference from the XEKTT operation. See Engineering Exhibit, note 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Engineering Exhibit, Table II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Engineering Exhibit, Table II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Engineering Exhibit, Table II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Engineering Exhibit, Table II.

people, including 6.8 million in the San Francisco metropolitan area. In sum, for some or all of each day, there exists absolutely <u>no</u> area or population within the KBLU and KSFO coverage areas that does not receive interference from XEKTT, and virtually no area or population within the KLAC coverage area that does not receive interference from XEKTT. XEKTT's operations also cause objectionable interference under the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement to the daytime operations of KUZZ, KSFO, and KFYI and to the nighttime operations of KLAC.

Measurements and tests conducted by the Affected Stations and complaints lodged by listeners of the Affected Stations substantiate the actual severity of the interference predicted in the Engineering Exhibit. Consistent with the interference predicted in the Engineering Exhibit, listener complaints of total interference from XEKTT have come from the heart of the Affected Stations' interference-free contours. <sup>10</sup> The Affected Stations' ability to reach their listeners is severely handicapped by the prohibited operations of XEKTT and appropriate and immediate Bureau action is required.

Conversations with the Bureau staff indicate that no coordination requests or notifications were submitted by the Mexican government regarding XEKTT's moves from 1600 kHz to 550 kHz and subsequently to 560 kHz. However, copies of the XEKTT licenses within the Bureau's possession indicate that the Mexican government approved XEKTT's moves to 550 kHz and 560 kHz. These actions violate the medium frequency AM band coordination procedure agreed upon between the U.S. and Mexico in 1986 and followed since the effective date of the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement. As outlined in note 2, *supra*, an administration that seeks to modify the frequency of an AM station subject to the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement must seek the agreement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Engineering Exhibit, Table II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Engineering Exhibit, Table II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Engineering Exhibit, Figures 1-3.

of the other administration. Further, any such change must comply with the interference protection requirements set forth in Annex 2 of the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement. Contrary to the requirements of the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement, the Bureau did not have any opportunity to evaluate the proposed operation of XEKTT on 560 kHz and, if it had, clearly would have concluded that the operation would cause severe and objectionable interference in contravention of the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement. Accordingly, in light of the severe and extensive nature of the prohibited interference caused to the Affected Stations by XEKTT, the Bureau must act promptly to notify the Mexican government of the nature and extent of the interference caused by XEKTT and request that the Mexican government cause XEKTT to cease operation on 560 kHz immediately.

## III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

XEKTT's operations on 560 kHz are causing severe interference to the Affected Stations and preventing the Affected Stations from serving millions of listeners located well within their interference-free contours. XEKTT is not licensed in accordance with the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement because the Bureau was never notified of the frequency change and the change was never approved or authorized by the Bureau. Moreover, if the Bureau had been notified of the proposed move to 560 kHz, the Bureau clearly would have objected to the modification based on the nature and extent of the objectionable interference caused to the Affected Stations in

violation of the U.S.-Mexico AM Agreement. Accordingly, the Affected Stations urge the Bureau to act immediately to request the Mexican government to cause XEKTT to discontinue operation on 560 kHz.

Respectfully submitted,

Tom W. Davidson, Esq.

Natalie G. Roisman, Esq.

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP

1676 International Drive

Penthouse

McLean, Virginia 22102

(703) 891-7500

Counsel for KGO-AM Radio, Inc.

Gregory L. Masters, Esq.

Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP

1776 K Street, NW

11<sup>th</sup> Floor

Washington, DC 20006

(703) 719-7370

Counsel for Owens One Company

hegry Masters/NGR

Marnie K. Sarver, Esq.

Gregory L. Masters, Esq.

Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP

1776 K Street, NW

11<sup>th</sup> Floor

Washington, DC 20006

(703) 719-4289

Counsel for AMFM Radio Licenses, L.L.C. and Capstar TX Limited

**Partnership** 

## **Engineering Statement**

## INTERFERENCE FROM XEKTT(AM), TECATE, BAJA CALIFORNIA, MEXICO TO AM RADIO FACILITIES IN THE UNITED STATES

prepared for KGO-AM RADIO, INC.

KGO-AM RADIO, INC. ("KGO") is the licensee of medium wave (AM) broadcast station KSFO(AM), 560 kHz, San Francisco, California. KGO, in cooperation with Owens One, Company ("Owens"), AM/FM Radio Licenses, L.L.C. ("AM/FM"), and Capstar Limited TX Partnership ("Capstar") is filing a formal complaint with the FCC regarding interference being received from XEKTT, Tecate, Baja California, Mexico. This engineering statement provides specific data supporting the complaint.

## **Background**

Information provided by FCC Staff from the International Branch indicates that Mexican authorities have apparently authorized a change in frequency for XEKTT from 1600 kHz to 560 kHz. Information provided by FCC Staff suggests that the new XEKTT frequency assignment was made outside the structure of the *U.S. – Mexican Agreement*<sup>1</sup> allocation plan agreed upon by both Mexico and the U.S. The coordination process, required in the agreement, was apparently not employed for this frequency assignment. **Table I**, attached hereto, provides a listing the technical data regarding the stations involved in this study<sup>2</sup>. The technical data for XEKTT, provided by FCC Staff and shown in **Table I**, indicates daytime non-directional operation at 20 kW and nighttime non-directional operation of 10 kW. As will be shown herein, operation at these power levels clearly violates the agreed upon technical parameters in the *U.S. – Mexican Agreement*. Further, the resulting interference to broadcast stations in the United States is extensive and has generated numerous listener complaints. The operation of XEKTT must cease immediately to insure proper protection of licensed domestic stations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See the <u>Agreement Between The Government of the United States Of America And The Government of the United Mexican States Relating To The AM Broadcasting Service In The Medium Frequency Band ("U.S.-Mexican Agreement")</u>, 1986.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The list of stations studied in this report does not represent the only stations experiencing interference from the XEKTT operation.

## **Engineering Statement**

## INTERFERENCE FROM XEKTT(AM), TECATE, BAJA CALIFORNIA, MEXICO (Page 2 of 5)

## Discussion

Article 3, Section 3.2 of the *U.S. – Mexican Agreement* provides a procedure for the introduction of a new assignment or station to the existing plan. The procedures for such a change is set forth in Article 4. Information provided by FCC staff indicates that notification from Mexican authorities for a new station on 560 kHz at Tecate, BN, was never received. Therefore, absent the coordination, review, and acceptance procedures set forth in the *U.S. – Mexican Agreement*, it appears the XEKTT operation does not conform to the agreement.

Annex 2, Chapter 4 of the U.S. – Mexican Agreement provides the criteria for determining a station's protected coverage area and associated incoming interference. Region 2 Class B stations, such as those discussed herein, are protected at night to the 2500 μV/m groundwave contour or the usable field strength as determine by Chapter 4, Section 4.7. Nighttime "interference free" contour predictions for the authorized domestic facilities were employed<sup>3</sup>. Daytime operations of Region 2 Class B facilities are protected to the 0.5 mV/m contour. **Table II** provides a comparison of both the daytime and nighttime population and area for the domestic stations studied. The impact of the XEKTT operation on 560 kHz severely interferes with the service normally provided by the stations studied. For the purpose of this study, the protection ratios in the *U.S. – Mexican Agreement* for co-channel and adjacent channel stations were employed<sup>4</sup>.

**Exhibit I** provides night studies for impacted stations with and without XEKTT. As demonstrated, the "interference free" contours are severely impacted by XEKTT. **Table II** documents the changes to the "interference free" contours for the impacted stations.

The following provides greater detail on the impact of XEKTT to the stations studied.

<sup>4</sup> See Annex 2, Chapter 4, Sections 4.8.1 and 4.8.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Using RSS calculations as specified in the U.S. – Mexican Agreement and the FCC Rules.

## **Engineering Statement**

## INTERFERENCE FROM XEKTT(AM), TECATE, BAJA CALIFORNIA, MEXICO (Page 3 of 5)

## KSFO, 560 kHz, San Francisco, California

KGO is the licensee of KSFO. Predicted overlap of the KSFO daytime 0.5 mV/m protected contour by the XEKTT 0.025 mV/m contour, causing interference to 747,359 persons, a 6% reduction in the KSFO population coverage. At night, the KSFO 2.6 mV/m "interference free" contour is *wholly encompassed* by the XEKTT nighttime 130  $\mu$ V interfering skywave contour. Thus, 6,848,401 persons who normally receive "interference free" nighttime service from KSFO now receive interference from XEKTT.

KSFO has compiled numerous listener complaints. A sample of these complaints are documented in **Exhibit II**. The location of these (former) listeners, along with the KSFO nighttime "interference free" contour, is provided in **Figure 1**.

## KBLU, 560 kHz, Yuma, Arizona

KBLU is owned by Capstar and is located 205 km from XEKTT. Overlapping interfering contours are predicted to exist to KBLU's entire authorized daytime and nighttime operations. As a result of the XEKTT operation, 414,358 persons (100% of the population within the protected contour) during the day and 155,648 persons (100% of the population within the nighttime "interference free" contour) will receive interference where none existed before.

## KUZZ, 550 kHz, Bakersfield, California

KUZZ is owned by Owens and is located 370 km from XEKTT. A portion of the KUZZ daytime 0.5 mV/m protected contour is predicted to receive interference from the XEKTT 0.5 mV/m contour. There are 3,117,002 persons within the XEKTT interference area resulting in a 60% loss in audience. KUZZ has also received numerous complaints from former listeners regarding the interference from XEKTT. The locations of these listener complaints are depicted in **Figure 2** along with the KUZZ protected and XEKTT interfering contour.

## KLAC, 570 kHz, Los Angeles, California

KLAC is owned by AM/FM and is located 215 km from XEKTT. Overlap of the KLAC 0.5 mV/m protected contour from the XEKTT 0.5 mV/m contour exists resulting in 97% lost coverage to 18,473,208 persons.

## Engineering Statement INTERFERENCE FROM XEKTT(AM), TECATE, BAJA CALIFORNIA, MEXICO (Page 4 of 5)

KLAC has reported many complaints from listeners. Numerous complaints were received from San Diego and Orange Counties in California. **Figure 3** shows the locations of these highly populated areas in addition to the KLAC daytime protected contour and the XEKTT interfering contour.

## KFYI, 550 kHz, Phoenix, Arizona

KFYI operates on 550 kHz on a first adjacent channel from XEKTT. A portion of the daytime 0.5 mV/m contour is overlapped by the 0.5 mV/m XEKTT contour resulting in a loss of service to 150,369 persons are located in this overlap area.

## Conclusion

As demonstrated herein, the daytime and nighttime operation of XEKTT on 560 kHz causes severe interference to KSFO, KBLU, KUZZ, KLAC, and KFYI. Other broadcast stations not studied herein may also be impacted. In these times of hightened security levels and with the prevalence of earthquakes in California it is crucial that domestic broadcasting be interference free to insure unimpeded transmission of emergency information to the public. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that immediate action be taken by the FCC to cause XEKTT to cease operation on 560 kHz. Further, any future operation of XEKTT must comply with the *U.S.-Mexican Agreement*.

## Engineering Statement INTERFERENCE FROM XEKTT(AM), TECATE, BAJA CALIFORNIA, MEXICO (Page 5 of 5)

## Certification

The foregoing statement was prepared by the undersigned and is believed to be true and correct to his knowledge and belief. Mr. Mertz is a principal in Cavell, Mertz, and Davis, Inc. and has filed numerous submissions with the Federal Communications Commission. His qualifications are a matter of record with that agency.

Respectfully submitted,

I d. My

Richard H. Mertz

December 24, 2003

Cavell, Mertz & Davis, Inc. 7839 Ashton Avenue Manassas, Virginia 20109 703-392-9090 rmertz@cmdconsulting.com

## Table I TRANSMITTER DATA prepared for KGO-AM Radio, Inc.

Callsign	Frequency	Day Power	Day Pattern	Latitude
City, State, Country	Facility ID	Night Power	Night Pattern	Longitude
XEKTT*	560 kHz	20 kW	Non-Directional	32° 30' 49" N
Tecate, Baja California, Mexico		10 kW	Non-Directional	116° 49' 08" W
KSFO	560 kHz	5 kW	Non-Directional	37° 44' 44" N
San Francisco, California, US	34472	5 kW	Directional	122° 22' 40" W
KBLU	560 kHz	1 kW	Non-Directional	32° 43' 25" N
Yuma, Arizona, US	62233	1 kW	Directional	114° 38' 39" W
KUZZ	550 kHz	5 kW	Directional	35° 20' 25" N
Bakersfield, California, US	7695	5 kW	Directional	118° 56' 14" W
KLAC	570 kHz	5 kW	Non-Directional	34° 4' 11" N
Los Angeles, California, US	59958	5 kW	Directional	118° 11' 36" W
KFYI	550 kHz	5 kW	Non-Directional	33° 23' 17" N
Phoenix, Arizona, US	63918	1 kW	Non-Directional	112° 0' 22" W

<sup>\*</sup> Source: FCC International Bureau Staff

## Table II SUMMARY OF INTERFERENCE POPULATION AND AREA prepared for KGO-AM Radio, Inc.

SAA! KSEO	Daytime Protected Or Nighttime Interference Free <u>Contour</u>	Area Predicted to Receive Interference from XEKTT	Interference Free Area with <u>XEKTT</u>	Percent <u>Difference</u>
Station KSFO				
Daytime Pop	11,569,731	747,359	10,822,372	-6%
Daytime Land Area (sq km)	94,337.7	13,683.5	80,654	-15%
Nighttime Pop	6,848,401	6,848,401	0	-100%
Nighttime Land Area (sq km)	17,352.95	17,352.95	0	-100%
Station KBLU				
Daytime Pop	414,358	414,358	0	-100%
Daytime Area (sq km)	60,960.0	60,960.0	0	-100%
Nighttime Pop	155,648	155,648	0	-100%
Nighttime Area (sq km)	1,865.0	1,865.0		-100%
Station KUZZ				
Daytime Pop	5,219,174	3,117,002	2,102,172	-60%
Daytime Land Area (sq km)	76,668.2	12,036.0	64,632	-16%
Nighttime Pop Nighttime Land Area (sq km)		No Interference		i
Station KLAC				
Daytime Pop	19,018,498	18,473,208	545,290	-97%
Daytime Land Area (sq km)	52,617.3	32,030.8	20,587	-61%
Nighttime Pop	12,974,950	147,615	12,827,335	-1%
Nighttime Land Area (sq km)	9,626.9	393.4	9,234	-4%
Station KFYI				
Daytime Pop	4,618,878	150,369	4,468,509	-3%
Daytime Area (sq km)	164,892.1	15,130.0	149,762	-9%
Nighttime Pop Nighttime Area (sq km)		No Interference		

## $\frac{\text{Table III}}{\text{CHANGES IN 50\% RSS TO STATIONS RESULTING FROM XEKTT}}$ prepared for

KGO-AM Radio, Inc.

Station	Authorized 50% RSS (mV/m)	50 % RSS with XEKTT (mV/m)	% increase
KSFO	2.60	12.35	375.0%
KBLU	6.53	41.55	536.3%
KUZZ	6.23	6.23	0.0%
KLAC	3.99	4.97	24.6%
KFYI	4.12	4.33	5.1%

## NIGHT STUDIES WITH AND WITHOUT XEKTT

## KSFO Authorized Nighttime Operation

Call: KSFO Freq: 560 kHz SAN FRANCISCO, CA, US

Lat: 37-44-44 N

Lng: 122-22-40 W
Power: 5.0 kW
Theo RMS: 680.75 mV/m @ 1km
# of Augmentations: 2

Standard: FCC Rules (1992 Skywave Propagation Model) [ 10% ]

Contributors:

COLLCE FACCOLD.														
							The	ta	Max	SW			RSS	
	Freq				Dist		Min	Max	V-Rad	Mult	Limit		Limit	
Call	(kHz)	(kHz) City	St	Çţ	(km)	(deg)	(deg) (deg)	(ded)	(m//m)	(m/\n)	(m//m)	(%)	(mV/m)	
KLVI 0560	0560	BEAUMONT	Ϋ́	US	2754.1	295.7	0.0	0.0	1066.27	7.56	1.613	100.0	1.613	
KUZZ	0220		G	us	407.3	312.0	18.5	29.5	499.47	155.43	1.553	96.3	2.239	
KLZ	0260	DENVER	පි	as	1525.2	266.8	2.0	5.5	294.03	20.86	1.227	54.8	2.553 *	
KLAC	0570		G A	$\Omega$ S	555.8	318.5	13.3	22.0	515.99	106.53	1.099	43.1	2.780	
KOAC	0220		O.R.	ns	769.2	174.6	9.0	15.5	742.37	64.44	0.957	34.4	2.940	
KWTO	0260	SPRINGFIELD	Q	us	2568.2	280.9	0.0	0.0	547.02	7.54	0.825	28.1	3.053	
KPQ	0260		WA	SD	1092.2	189.5	5.0	9.9	98.71	35.14	0.694	22.7	3.131	
KNRS	0570	ΤΥ	Ι'n	SD	6.096	252.6	6.4	11.8	712.46	46.26	0.659	21.1	3.200	
XEMZA1/	A0560		ДĀ	MX	2686.1	323.9	0.0	0.0	305.52	10.01	0.616	19.2	3.258	
XESRD/A 0560	0260	ΙΩ	B	ΜX	2139.8	315.3	0.0	1.5	216.99	13.66	0.593	18.2	3.312	
WEBC	0260	DULUTH	¥	ns	2671.1	259.3	0.0	0.0	550.65	5.07	0.559	16.9	3.359	
KVI	0570	LE	ΜĀ	US	1075.8	179.8	2.5	10.1	679.62	36.27	0.493	14.7	3.395	
KBLU	0260	YUMA	ΑZ	$\Omega$ S	9.968	310.7	7.1	12.9	39.36	54.65	0.430	12.7	3.422	
KMON	0260	GREAT FALLS	ΜŢ	ns	1405.0	224.0	2.7	6.5	98.90	21.70	0.429	12.5	3.449	
NEW	0220	RENO	M	ΩS	303.4	230.5	24.7	37.5	90.16	211.85	0.382	11.1	3.470	

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates 50% RSS

# Exhibit I NIGHT STUDIES WITH AND WITHOUT XEKTT (Page 2 of 10)

# KSFO Authorized Nighttime Operation with XEKTT

Call: KSFO Nite Freg: 560 kHz SAN FRANCISCO, CA, US Lat: 37-44-44 N

Lng: 122-22-40 W
Power: 5.0 kW
Theo RMS: 680.75 mV/m @ 1km
# of Augmentations: 2

Standard: FCC Rules (1992 Skywave Propagation Model) [ 10% ]

Contributors:

) city st ct	The Freq Dist Azi Min (kHz) City St Ct (km) (deg) (deg) (10560 US 770.3 320.6 8.8
Dist Az  City St Ct (km) (deg	Freq Dist Az (kHz) City St Ct (km) (deg Trillos60 US 770.3 320.
City St Ct	Freq (kHz) City St Ct
) City	Freq (kHz) City  T LIO560
City	Freq (kHz) City  T LIO560
	- I

\* Indicates 50% RSS

# Exhibit I NIGHT STUDIES WITH AND WITHOUT XEKTT (Page 3 of 10)

## KBLU Authorized Nighttime Operation

Call: KBLU Freq: 560 kHz YUMA, AZ, US

Lat: 32-43-25 N

Lng: 114-38-39 W

Power: 1.0 kW Theo RMS: 281.64 mV/m @ 1km # of Augmentations: 6

Standard: FCC Rules (1992 Skywave Propagation Model) [ 10% ]

Contributors:

						Theta	ta	Max	SW			RSS	
		ţ	1	Dist	Azi	Min	Min Max	V-Rad	Mult	Limit	ć	Limit	
CAII (KHZ)	(KHZ) CICY	ָ ו ני	ָ נ	(14m)	(aeg)	(deg)	(deg)	(m//m)	(m/\n)	(m//m)	<i>‰</i>	(m//m)	
LVI 0560	BEAUMONT	Ϋ́	US	1990.9	283.9	0.0	2.4	1516.77	14.98		100.00	4.544	
LZ 0560	DENVER	පි	ns	1173.7	230.6	4.3	8.9	519.55	34.65		79.2	5.797	
KWTO 0560		Q	$\Omega$ S	2005.8	262.8	0.0	2.3	1106.79	13.55	2.999	51.7	6.527 *	
SFO 0560		CA	ΩS	9.968	126.2	7.1	12.9	257.10	54.65		43.1	7.106	
LAC 0570	LOS ANGELES	G	$\Omega$ S	361.8	113.4	20.9	32.6	579.74	176.16		28.7	7.394	
ESRD/A 0560		DG	ΧX	1243.1	315.4	3.8	8.1	216.27	36.36		21.3	7.559	
XEMZA1/A0560	CIHUATLAN	JA	XΧ	1805.8	328.4	0.5	3.5	305.50	21.59		17.5	7.674	
KFYI 0550	PHOENIX	ΑZ	ns	256.7	254.0	28.7	42.4	240.72	243.40		15.3	7.763	
	WENATCHEE	ΜA	$\Omega$ S	1706.3	161.6	1.0	4.1	255.27	16.70		11.0	7.809	

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates 50% RSS

# Exhibit I NIGHT STUDIES WITH AND WITHOUT XEKTT (Page 4 of 10)

# KBLU Authorized Nighttime Operation with XEKTT

Station Information:

Call: KBLU Freg: 560 kHz YUMA, AZ, US Lat: 32-43-25 N

Lng: 114-38-39 W
Power: 1.0 kW
Theo RMS: 281.64 mV/m @ 1km
# of Augmentations: 6

Standard: FCC Rules (1992 Skywave Propagation Model) [ 10% ]

Contributors:

				*	
RSS	Limit	$(m/\Delta m)$	1 1 1 1 1 1	41.548	41.796
		<u>%</u>	1 1 1 1 1	100.0	10.9
	Limit	(%) (m/\m)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	41.548	4.544
SW	Mult	(m//n)	1 1 1 1 1 1	286.30	14.98 4.544 10.9 41.796
Max	V-Rad	(m//m)			283.9 0.0 2.4 1516.77
Theta	Max	(deg)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	48.9	7.7
	Min	(deg)		34.6	0.0
	Àzi	(deg) (deg) (deg)		82.9	283.9
	Dist	(km)		205.0	
		ß	1 1	US	$\Omega$ S
		St Ct			ΤX
		(kHz) City			BEAUMONT
	Freq	(kHz)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	XEKTT LI0560	0260 BI
		Call	1 1 1 1	XEKTT	KLVI

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates 50% RSS

# Exhibit I NIGHT STUDIES WITH AND WITHOUT XEKTT (Page 5 of 10)

## KUZZ Authorized Nighttime Operation

Call: KUZZ Freg: 550 kHz BAKERSFIELD, CA, US Lat: 35-20-25 N

Lng: 118-56-14 W Power: 5.0 kW Theo RMS: 687.27 mV/m @ 1km

Standard: FCC Rules (1992 Skywave Propagation Model) [ 10% ]

Contributors:

Call	Freq (kHz) Ci	City	St	ಕ	Dist (km)	Azi (deg)	Theta Min Ma (deg) (de	ta Max (deg)	Max V-Rad (mV/m)	SW Mult (uV/m)	Limit (mV/m)	%	RSS Limit (mV/m)	
KFYI		PHOENIX	AZ	us	671.9	290.8	10.6	18.1	277.12	82.88	4.594	100.0	4.594	
KOAC		CORVALLIS	OR.	$\Omega$ S	1094.9	159.3	5.0	9.8	562.70	37.42	4.212	91.7	6.232 *	
KBOW		BUTTE	ΜŢ	$\Omega$ S	1297.5	206.6	3.4	7.5	419.19	26.81	2.248	36.1	6.625	
KTRS		ST. LOUIS	Ø	$\Omega$ S	2573.7	270.8	0.0	0.0	1245.08	7.50	1.867	28.2	6.883	
KRAI		CRAIG	ပ္ပ	$\Omega$ S	1154.1	243.5	4.5	9.1	263.96	34.79	1.837	26.7	7.124	
NEW	0220	RENO	N	$\Omega$ S	468.8	171.3	16.0	25.9	58.85	131.34	1.546	21.7	7.290	
XEWA/A	0540	SAN LUIS POTOSI	$_{ m SI}$	ΜX	2282.2	314.0	0.0	0.8	4513.67	13.16	1.188	16.3	7.386	
XETNC/A	0220	TEPIC	NA	ΜX	2057.2	321.4	0.0	2.0	304.17	16.20	0.986	13.3	7.452	
KTSA	0520	SAN ANTONIO	ΤX	as	2028.1	294.0	0.0	2.1	308.44	14.35	0.885	11.9	7.504	
KFYR	0520	BISMARCK	N Q	$\Omega$ S	1994.6	236.7	0.0	2.3	387.95	11.02	0.855	11.4	7.553	
KSFO	0260	SAN FRANCISCO	CA	$\Omega$ S	407.3	130.0	18.5	29.5	274.07	155.43	0.852	11.3	7.601	
XEGUZ/A 0550	0520	CD.GUZMAN	JA	ΜX	2297.4	322.0	0.0	0.8	278.50	13.67	0.762	10.0	7.639	

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates 50% RSS

## NIGHT STUDIES WITH AND WITHOUT XEKTT (Page 6 of 10) Exhibit I

KUZZ Authorized Nighttime Operation with XEKTT

Freq: 550 kHz Call: KUZZ

BAKĒRSFIELD, CA, US

Lat: 35-20-25 N

Lng: 118-56-14 W Power: 5.0 kW Theo RMS: 687.27 mV/m @ 1km

Standard: FCC Rules (1992 Skywave Propagation Model) [ 10% ]

Contributors:

						The	Theta	Мах	SW			RSS
				Dist	Azi	Min	Min Max	V-Rad	Mult	Limit		Limit
(KHz) City St Ct	1	: : ::		(km)	(deg)	(deg)	(deg)	(mV/m)	(m//m)	(m//m)	(%)   	(mV/m)
0550 PHOENIX AZ US		ns		671.9	290.8	10.6	18.1	277.12	82.88	4.594	100.0	4.594
CORVALLIS		ns		1094.9	159.3	5.0	9.8	562.70	37.42	4.212	91.7	6.232 *
	Sn	SD		370.0	328.7	20.4	32.0	846.17	172.19	2.914	46.8	6.880
0550 BUTTE MT US		$\Omega$ S		1297.5	206.6	3.4	7.5	419.19	26.81	2.248	32.7	7.238
ST. LOUIS MO		$\Omega$ S		2573.7	270.8	0.0	0.0	1245.08	7.50	1.867	25.8	7.475
CRAIG	-	ΩS		1154.1	243.5	4.5	9.1	263.96	34.79	1.837	24.6	7.697
RENO		ΩS		468.8	171.3	16.0	25.9	58.85	131.34	1.546	20.1	7.851
SAN LUIS POTOSI		ΜX		2282.2	314.0	0.0	0.8	4513.67	13.16	1.188	15.1	7.940
TEPIC NA MX	ΜX		.,	2057.2	321.4	0.0	2.0	304.17	16.20	0.986	12.4	8.001
SAN ANTONIO TX		as		2028.1	294.0	0.0	2.1	308.44	14.35	0.885	11.1	8.050
BISMARCK		ΩS		1994.6	236.7	0.0	2.3	387.95	11.02	0.855	10.6	8.095
	_	ns		407.3	130.0	18.5	29.5	274.07	155.43	0.852	10.5	8.140

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates 50% RSS

# Exhibit I NIGHT STUDIES WITH AND WITHOUT XEKTT (Page 7 of 10)

KLAC Authorized Nighttime Operation

Call: KLAC

Freq: 570 kHz LOS ANGELES, CA, US

Lat: 34-04-11 N

Lng: 118-11-36 W
Power: 5.0 kW
Theo RMS: 675.92 mV/m @ 1km
# of Augmentations: 7

Standard: FCC Rules (1992 Skywave Propagation Model) [ 10% ]

Contributors:

;	bezg	į	i	i	Dist	Azi	Theta Min Max	ta Max	Max V-Rad	SW Mult	Limit		RSS Limit	
Call	(kHz)	City	St	: ا	(km)		(deg)	(deg)	(m/\m)	(m/\n)	(m//m)	(%) (%)	(m//m)	
KVI 0570	0570	SEATTLE	WA	as	1526.1	165.1	1.9	5.5	683.19	20.45	2.794	100.0	2.794	
KLIF	0220	DALLAS	Ϋ́	ns	1966.1	279.5	0.0	2.5	707.39	14.77	2.090	74.8	3.489	
KMJ	0580	FRESNO	CA	ΩS	306.3	159.7	24.5	37.3	463.20	209.28	1.939	55.6	3.991 *	
WNAX	0220	YANKTON	SD	ns	2057.7	248.4	0.0	2.0	736.44	11.52	1.696	42.5	4.337	
KNRS	0220	SALT LAKE CITY	U	ns	931.4	218.3	6.7	12.3	138.27	49.96	1.382	31.9	4.552	
XENZ/A	0220	CULIACAN	SI	ΜX	1470.3	317.0	2.3	5.9	201.70	27.74	1.119	24.6	4.687	
XELQ1/A	0220	MORELIA	MC	ΜX	2319.7	317.0	0.0	9.0	268.71	13.63	0.732	15.6	4.744	
KSFO	0260	SAN FRANCISCO	CA CA	ns	555.8	136.1	13.3	22.0	340.01	106.53	0.724	15.3	4.799	
XEKZX/A 0570	0220	SAYULA	JA	ΜX	2138.8	320.5	0.0	1.5	193.72	15.78	0.611	12.7	4.838	
XEVJP1/A0570	10570		ΡΩ	ΜX	2512.1	311.8	0.0	0.0	230.01	11.57	0.532	11.0	4.867	
XETJ/A 0570	0220	GOMEZ PALACIO	DO	ΜX	1703.4	307.2	1.0	4.1	124.29	21.25	0.528	10.9	4.896	

\* Indicates 50% RSS

## NIGHT STUDIES WITH AND WITHOUT XEKTT (Page 8 of 10) Exhibit I

KLAC Authorized Nighttime Operation with XEKTT

Call: KLAC Freq: 570 kHz

LOS ANGELES, CA, US

Lat: 34-04-11 N

Lng: 118-11-36 W

Power: 5.0 kW
Theo RMS: 675.92 mV/m @ 1km
# of Augmentations: 7

Standard: FCC Rules (1992 Skywave Propagation Model) [ 10% ]

Contributors:

RSS	Limit (%)	(*) (m//m)									29.6 5.971 23.1 6.129 18.3 6.230 11.8 6.273
	Limit	i									1.696 2 1.382 2 1.119 1
MS	Mult (",",	( U.V / III )	277.83	20.45	14.77	209.28		11.52	11.52	11.52 49.96 27.74	11.52 49.96 27.74 13.63
Max	V-Rad	(1110 / 1111)	738.62	683.19	707.39	463.20		736.44	736.44	736.44 138.27 201.70	736.44 138.27 201.70 268.71
ta	Max (Aed)	ייייי)									12.0 12.3 0.6
Theta	Min (ded)	(469)	33.3	1.9	0.0	24.5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 6.7 0.0
	Azi	(gan)	323.9	165.1	279.5	159.7		248.4	248.4 218.3	248.4 218.3 317.0	248.4 218.3 317.0
	Dist (km)	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	215.0	1526.1	1966.1	306.3		2057.7	2057.7 931.4	2057.7 931.4 1470.3	2057.7 931.4 1470.3 2319.7
	£	ו ו נ ו נ	$\Omega$ S	$\overline{\Omega}$	$\Omega$ S	$\overline{\Omega}$	ļ	S	S C	SD WW	MX do
	ŭ	ו ט ו ט ו ר		MA	ΤX	CP	ç	3	35	SI	SI
	;; ;;	(1.c.)		SEATTLE	DALLAS	FRESNO	YANKTON		SALT LAKE CITY	SALT LAKE	SALT LAKE CULIACAN MORELIA
	Freq (kHz) City	(Mild)	LI0560	0220	0220	0890	0570	}	0570	0570	0570 0570 0570
	ר ר	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	XEKTT L	KVI	KLIF	KMJ	WNAX		KNRS	KNRS XENZ/A	KNRS XENZ/A XELQ1/A

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates 50% RSS

# Exhibit I EXHIBIT STUDIES WITH AND WITHOUT XEKTT (Page 9 of 10)

## KFYI Authorized Nighttime Operation

Call: KFYI

Freq: 550 kHz PHOENIX, AZ, US Lat: 33-23-17 N

Lng: 112-00-22 W Power: 1.0 kW Theo RMS: 283.24 mV/m @ 1km

Standard: FCC Rules (1992 Skywave Propagation Model) [ 10% ]

## Contributors:

Call	Freq (kHz)	Freq (kHz) Citv	Ω 11	5 1	Dist (km)	Azi (dea)	The Min	Theta Min Max (deg) (deg)	Max V-Rad (mV/m)	SW Mult	Limit.	<sub>(4</sub> )	RSS Limit (mV/m)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			}	;		1111111	1 (6)		/m//m/	(111)	/ III / A III /	(0)	
KTRS	0550	ST. LOUIS	Ø.	an	2046.8	260.1	0.0		1198.75	12.36	2.963	100.0	2.963
XEWA/A	0540	SAN LUIS POTOSI	SL	XΧ	1657.4	321.4	1.2	4.5	4510.31	23.34	2.105	71.0	3.635
KTSA	0520	SAN ANTONIO	ΤX	as	1358.6	292.0	3.0	6.9	336.22	28.97	1.948	53.6	4.124 *
XEPL/A	0220	CD. CUAUHTEMOC	H	ΧX	740.0	319.2	9.3	16.2	115.55	74.07	1.712	41.5	4.465
XETNC/A	0220	TEPIC	NA	ΜX	1494.3	333.5	2.1	5.7	303.87	28.13	1.710	38.3	4.781
KOAC	0220	CORVALLIS	OR	$\Omega$ S	1577.3	138.6	1.7	5.1	357.27	19.96	1.426	29.8	4.989
KFYR	0220	BISMARCK	Ð	ns	1782.1	216.9	9.0	3.6	482.94	14.27	1.378	27.6	5.176
XEGUZ/A	0520	CD.GUZMAN	d'A	ΜX	1730.8	332.5	6.0	4.0	278.46	22.61	1.259	24.3	5.327
KBOW	0220	BUTTE	ĽΨ	$\Omega$ S	1400.2	177.8	2.7	6.5	230.15	23.71	1.092	20.5	5.438
WSAU	0220	WAUSAU	ΜI	as	2304.1	244.1	0.0	0.7	642.88	8.42	1.083	19.9	5.545
	0220	RENO	N	$\Omega$ S	968.1	132.3	6.3	11.7	107.17	47.75	1.024	18.5	5.638
KCRS	0220	MIDLAND	ΤX	ΩS	944.2	281.6	6.5	12.1	86.68	50.85	0.882	15.6	5.707
	0220	BAKERSFIELD	g	ns	671.9	106.9	10.6	18.1	47.01	82.88	0.779	13.7	5.760
	0220	CRAIG	පි	ns	889.2	207.9	7.2	13.0	70.14	53.40	0.749	13.0	5.808
	0260	DENVER	පි	ΩS	953.9	223.4	6.4	11.9	651.80	47.97	0.625	10.8	5.842

\* Indicates 50% RSS

## Exhibit I NIGHT STUDIES WITH AND WITHOUT XEKTT (Page 10 of 10)

## KFYI Authorized Operation with XEKTT

Call: KFYI Freq: 550 kHz

PHOENIX, AZ, US

Lat: 33-23-17 N

Lng: 112-00-22 W Power: 1.0 kW

Theo RMS: 283.24 mV/m @ 1km

Standard: FCC Rules (1992 Skywave Propagation Model) [ 10% ]

Contributors:

Call	Freq (kHz)	City	St	G	Dist (km)	Azi (deg)	The Min (deg)	Theta Min Max (deg) (deg)	Max V-Rad (mV/m)	SW Mult (uV/m)	Limit (mV/m)	0/0	RSS Limit (mV/m)	
KTRS	0520	ST. LOU	MO	US	2046.8	260.1	0.0	2.0	1198.75	12.36	2.963	100.0	2.963	
XEKTT LI0560				$\Omega$ S	459.4	76.5	16.4	26.4	870.35	134.92	2.349	79.3	3.781	
XEWA/A 0540		SAN LUIS POTOSI	$_{ m SI}$	ΜX	1657.4	321.4	1.2	4.5	4510.31	23.34	2.105	55.7	4.328 *	
KTSA	0550	SAN ANTONIO	Ϋ́	$\Omega$ S	1358.6	292.0	3.0	6.9	336.22	28.97	1.948	45.0	4.746	
XEPL/A	0550	CD.CUAUHTEMOC	H	ΜX	740.0	319.2	9.3	16.2	115.55	74.07	1.712	36.1	5.045	
XETNC/A	0550	TEPIC	NA	ΧW	1494.3	333.5	2.1	5.7	303.87	28.13	1.710	33.9	5.327	
KOAC	0550	CORVALLIS	OR	ΩS	1577.3	138.6	1.7	5.1	357.27	19.96	1.426	26.8	5.515	
KFYR	0550	BISMARCK	S	ΩS	1782.1	216.9	9.0	3.6	482.94	14.27	1.378	25.0	5.684	
XEGUZ/A	0550	CD.GUZMAN	ДĀ	ΜX	1730.8	332.5	0.9	4.0	278.46	22.61	1.259	22.2	5.822	
KBOW	0220	BUTTE	Ψ	SD	1400.2	177.8	2.7	6.5	230.15	23.71	1.092	18.7	5.923	
WSAU	0550	WAUSAU	ΜI	$\Omega$ S	2304.1	244.1	0.0	0.7	642.88	8.42	1.083	18.3	6.022	
NEW	0220	RENO	M	ΩS	968.1	132.3	6.3	11.7	107.17	47.75	1.024	17.0	6.108	
KCRS	0220	MIDLAND	Ϋ́	$\Omega$ S	944.2	281.6	6.5	12.1	86.68	50.85	0.882	14.4	6.171	
KUZZ	0220	BAKERSFIELD	S.	$\Omega$ S	671.9	106.9	10.6	18.1	47.01	82.88	0.779	12.6	6.220	
KRAI	0220	CRAIG	ප	$\Omega$ S	889.2	207.9	7.2	13.0	70.14	53.40	0.749	12.0	6.265	

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates 50% RSS

## Exhibit II INTERFERENCE REPORTS FROM KSFO LISTENERS

(Page 1 of 7)

From: <xxxxxxxx@aol.com>

Reply-To: "Everett Xxxxx" <xxxxxxxx@aol.com>

Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 10:42:01 -0800

Originated from: http://www.ksfo560.com/contactus.asp

Name: Everett Xxxxx

E-mail Address: xxxxxxxx@aol.com

City: Morgan Hill, CA

Comments:

Recently it has become impossible to listen to KSFO between sometime around 6  $\,\mathrm{pm}$  and 6  $\,\mathrm{am}$ . The problem appears to be another station that overpowers yours.

Are you working on your transmitter or something? Will this continue?

From: <xxxxxxx@webtv.net>

Reply-To: "Carl Xxxxxx" <xxxxx@webtv.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 05:46:00 -0800

Originated from: http://www.ksfo560.com/contactus.asp

Name: Carl Xxxxx

E-mail Address: xxxxxxx@webtv.net

City: san leandro

Comments:

I have been getting music interferance for about a week, at night, on all 3 of my radios. Any suggestions?

## Exhibit II

## INTERFERENCE REPORTS FROM KSFO LISTENERS

(Page 2 of 7)

From: <GXXXX@AOL.COM>

Reply-To: "Gary Xxxxxx" <GXXXX@AOL.COM> Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 20:20:00 -0800

Originated from: http://www.ksfo560.com/contactus.asp

Name: Gary Xxxxxx

E-mail Address: GXXXX@AOL.COM

City: Napa

## Comments:

I was wondering what was wrong with your transmitter. This past week the reception is very poor. I've been getting bleedover from some other station too. I've been listening to KSFO since you went to this format and I listen to you every day. Keep up the good work.

From: <xxxxx@pyramid.net>

Reply-To: "Joe Xxxxx" <xxxxx@pyramid.net> Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 07:59:00 -0800

Originated from: http://www.ksfo560.com/contactus.asp

Name: Joe Xxxxx

E-mail Address: xxxxx@pyramid.net

City: Carson City

## Comments:

Hi - I'm not sure I'm directing this note correctly. I have a technical problem. For the last year or so I have been listening to KSFO on my CCrane Radio. Recently a Spanish station has userpt 560AM. I now have to go back to the computer. How ever when I try to get access, the notice that my web provider cannot connect me. The button says it will get, I suppose, a plugin. When I click on it, nothing happens. Can you help? Joe

## Exhibit II

## INTERFERENCE REPORTS FROM KSFO LISTENERS

(Page 3 of 7)

From: <xxxxxxxxx@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: "John Xxxxxxx" <xxxxxxxxx@earthlink.net>

Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 09:24:00 -0800

Originated from: http://www.ksfo560.com/contactus.asp

Name: John Xxxxxx

E-mail Address: xxxxxxxxx@earthlink.net

City: Brentwood, 94513

## Comments:

In the early morning (6-7am) and in the afternoon (3-6P), I am receiving crosstalk from what sounds like a Hispanic music station. This is a new situation that I have been experiencing for about one week.

From: <xxxxxxxx059@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: "Cindy Xxxxxxx" <xxxxxxxx059@earthlink.net>

Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 09:22:02 -0800

Originated from: http://www.ksfo560.com/contactus.asp

Name: Cindy Xxxxxx

E-mail Address: xxxxxxxx059@earthlink.net

City: Antioch

## Comments:

Within the last week, I have not been able to get a strong enough signal to listen to KSFO at night. There seems to be a new Spanish station that drowns out KSFO almost completely.

I own a CC Radio so it isn't that I don't have a good radio. I like to listen to KSFO in the evening and now I am not able to. Why is your signal so weak? I can still get KCBS and KGO but I don't want to listen to them.

Signed,

A Loyal KSFO Listener

## Exhibit II INTERFERENCE REPORTS FROM KSFO LISTENERS

(Page 5 of 7)

From: <dxxxxxxxxxx1930@hotmail.com>

Reply-To: "David Xxxxxxx" <davidjenkins1930@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 18:30:02 -0800

Originated from: http://www.ksfo.com/contactus.asp

Name: David Xxxxxx

E-mail Address: xxxxxxxxxx1930@hotmail.com

City: San Jose

## Comments:

I live in the south San Jose area. For the past week or so I have noticed that A spanish music station is bleeding on to your station to the point that I have to terminate my listening. There may be a F.C.C. violation on their part. I have a very high quality A.M. reciever (CC radio) Please look into this and let me know what you find.

thank you

David Xxxxxxx

From: <mxxxxx@sonic.net>

Reply-To: "Barbara XxxX" <mXXXXX@sonic.net>
Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 06:22:01 -0800

Originated from: www.ksfo.com

Name: Barbara XxxX

E-mail Address: mxxxxx@sonic.net

City: Rohnert Park

## Comments:

WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO YOUR SIGNAL? IN SONOMA COUNTY I CAN HARDLY HEAR YOU!!! IT SOUNDS LIKE A NEW RADIO STATION HAS STARTED AT 559.75 ON THE DIAL! AS I'M LISTENING TO SUSS IN THE AM & PM, THERE IS OBNOXIOUS MUSIC COMING FROM AN ADJACENT STATION. DO YOU HAVE PLANS TO STRENGTHEN YOUR SIGNAL? I'M ADDICTED TO KSFO BUT I WILL NOT BE ABLE TO CONTINUE TO LISTEN CAUSE THE SIGNAL'S TOO WEAK IN SONOMA COUNTY! PLEASE HELP ME.

## Exhibit II INTERFERENCE REPORTS FROM KSFO LISTENERS

(Page 6 of 7)

From: <wxxxxxx@ix.netcom.com>

Reply-To: "Rob Xxxxxx" <wxxxxxx@ix.netcom.com>

Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 15:03:04 -0800

Originated from: http://www.ksfo.com/contactus.asp

Name: Rob Xxxxx

E-mail Address: xxxxxxxx@ix.netcom.com

City: Concord

Comments:

## HELPPPPPPP.

Is there a left wing conspiracy going on to your airwaves?? I live in Concord and listend to you channel almost all day when I can. About 1 week ago, I started picking up in the backround while Rush was talking, a "Spanish Radio Station" bleeding through and interfering with my listening pleasures of your station. I thought my antena was on the blink until I drove my wifes car and the same station was comming through. Then at work in our shop truck it was also comming through. Have you had any other complaints from my area about the same problem? I am thinking that the liberal minded folks have found a way to get a station where the frequency will bleed through during your broadcast to put a thorn in the conservative machine that is rolling RIGHT along!!!!...Rob

From: <xxxxxxxxxxx@sbcglobal.net>

Reply-To: "Joanie Xxxx" <joaniedeis@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Fri, 19 Dec 2003 06:11:02 -0800

Originated from: http://www.ksfo560.com/contactus.asp

Name: Joanie Xxxxx

E-mail Address: xxxxxxxxxx@sbcglobal.net

City: Danville

## Comments:

Recently I am getting a lot of crossover from a music station near KSFO on the dial. Have you heard any other complaints about that? Anything we can do about it? I am a big Art Bell listener and I am having to listen from Fresno, Reno or LA to get a better signal that from right here!

## $\frac{\text{Exhibit II}}{\text{INTERFERENCE REPORTS FROM KSFO LISTENERS}}$

(Page 7 of 7)

From: <xxxxxxxxxxxxxewebtv.net>

Reply-To: "Arthur Xxxxxxx" <arthurjackson@webtv.net>

Date: Fri, 19 Dec 2003 06:23:01 -0800

Originated from: http://www.ksfo560.com/contactus.asp

Name: Arthur Xxxxxx

E-mail Address: xxxxxxxxxxxxewebtv.net

City: San Juan Bautista


## Comments:

I hope you can fight that new over-powered station in Tijuana that plays one boring sound-alike ballad after another and at times overwhelms and obliterates KSFO's signal that had been so strong and clear down here, from 5 AM until sunrise, making listening to The Morning Show difficult and at times impossible.

The AM band has been all but destroyed by overcrowding.

Even KGO, which until now had been the clearest signal on the dial, is also affected by a powerful Mexican station somewhere. I can hear the faint, slightly off-frequency background interference at times.

KNBR is marred by a scratchy, over-powered Mexican station on 690.



4.) 3

